And Hashem spoke to Moshe face to face. (33:11)

Many years ago I saw a cartoon whose name escapes me now. I think it might have been Bambi or some other landmark of Western civilization. Anyway, in this cartoon a lovable Disney fawn with eyes as big as saucers approaches a silent still lake in a deserted forest and, for the first time in its life, sees its reflection. Startled by seeing another creature in this totally quiet landscape, the fawn jumps back in alarm. However, its curiosity piqued, the fawn gingerly approaches the glassy surface of the lake once again. It stares at its reflection. It smiles. It frowns. Its doppelganger faithfully mimics every move. A whole cartoon ballet of emotions follows: Surprise. Indignation. Friendship. Love. Until finally the fawn plunges a paw into the lake and the apparition vanishes, much to its chagrin.

If the eyes are the window of the soul, the face must be the mirror of the heart. It’s amazing how people know exactly how we feel about them, even when we’re sure that it’s our secret.

But more than this, when we look at someone, we see in their face not just the way they feel about us, but also the way we feel about them. Just as water reflects, so too the heart of man is reflected in the face of his fellow. To the extent we feel love for our fellow man, so too will he reciprocate that love. As they used to say, “The smile you send out returns to you.”

The reverse is also true. When we feel antipathy towards someone, we will see our own negative feelings written across their features like a billboard.

The same is true in our relationship with G-d. If we want to know how G-d feels about us, the surest sign is to check the pulse of our own feelings about Him. If our heart yearns for G-d, to serve Him and to do His will, there is no surer sign that He loves us.

If the eyes are the window of the soul, the face must be the mirror of the heart.

HEADLIGHTS ON

(Moshe said to G-d) “Show me Your Glory”... (G-d said to him) “You will see My back, but My face cannot be seen.” (33:18;23)

Have you ever driven down a country road on a moonless night and turned your headlights off? I wouldn’t advise you do it for more than a second because it’s like driving into nothingness. It’s amazing how those two small pencil-beams of light allow you to navigate a tortuous county road, even in the blackest night. “Why can’t they just make this road straight?” you might think to yourself.

This world is like night. The world-to-come is like day.

It’s possible to see at night, if you turn on your headlights. But there’s a difference: At night, your vision is restricted to what’s illuminated in the beams. It’s local. By day, you can see the whole picture.

In daylight it becomes clear why the road twists and turns so much, why sometimes you go up, and sometimes down: Over here, there’s a hill; there, a river; over there a chasm.

In this world, a person sees but through a glass, darkly. He catches a few brief excerpts of reality, mere flashes of the way the Creator runs His creation.

The rest is night.

With only the few chapters of world history at our disposal, we can’t visualize the whole of existence, from where it comes and to where it’s going. That’s why this world is like night. But in the world-to-come everything becomes as clear as day. Distance lends perspective and comprehension. We are able to understand the reasons why G-d does what He does: Why the road had to have this bend, why we had to go down there so far...

That’s what G-d was telling Moshe when he said “My face — you cannot see.” In this world we cannot see G-d’s

continued on page three
Moshe conducts a census by counting each silver half-shekel donated by all men, age twenty and over. Moshe is commanded to make a copper laver for the Mishkan. The women donate the necessary metal. The formula of the anointing oil is specified, and Hashem instructs Moshe to use this oil only for dedicating the Mishkan, its vessels, and Aharon and his sons. Hashem selects Betzalel and Oholiav to be the master craftsmen for the Mishkan and its vessels. The Jewish People are commanded to keep the Sabbath as an eternal sign that Hashem made the world. Moshe receives the two Tablets of Testimony on which are written the Ten Commandments. The mixed multitude who left Egypt with the Jewish People panic when Moshe’s descent seems delayed, and force Aharon to make a golden calf for them to worship. Aharon stalls and tries to delay them. Moshe is commanded to return to the people immediately, and I will sprinkle waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.”

This week’s haftarah is the haftarah of Parshas Parah, the third of the four special Parshios. Just as Parshas Parah concerns the laws of spiritual purity, so its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish upon you the waters of purity.” Its haftarah contains the words “and I will sprinkle upon you the waters of purity.” Its prophecy consoles the exiled Jewish

### A New Heart

And I will remove the heart of stone from within you and give you a heart of flesh. When a person sins he is actually harming himself; his suffering soul introsverts within his conscience, his feelings become numb and his emotions phlegmatic. This state not only hinders spiritual elevation but lures him to deepen his depression with additional sin. This is the meaning of the statement in Pirke Avos “a sin motivates a sin,” (Avos 4:2) as the spiritual harm caused by the first decision to sin strengthens his desire for future sin. Our Sages compared this situation to a thirsty sailor drinking salt water; the more he drinks the more he thirsts, never to quench his thirst. Nevertheless, when a person is determined to repent, the Merciful One removes his heart of stone and furnishes him with a new, sensitive heart of supple flesh, enabling him to embark on a new beginning.

Thanks for your help and answers to my questions. I am from Israel, but now live in Canada. There are few Jews in my city and it’s nice to know that when you need guidance you can find it, especially when there’s no synagogue in your city. Thanks.”

K. Eden
Afula is an important development town which serves as the market center of the Jezreel Valley. It was founded in 1925 on what is presumed to be the site of the tower (“Ophel”) mentioned in the Biblical account of an Aramean General’s visit to the Prophet Elisha (Melachim II 5:24).

The tower persisted as a symbol of the city for visitors, who can see among the ruins of the ancient settlement the remains of an Arab tower which serves as an historical landmark, at this important crossroads of the Jezreel Valley.

“I Didn’t Know That!”

“Half of the shekel...to atone for your souls.” (Shemos 30:9)

Each man was to give a half-shekel to atone for the sin of the golden calf. Why only a half-shekel? Because the women took no part in the sin of the golden calf. The half shekel was an atonement for the man, and without his wife he’s only half a person.
**SEPARATED BUT TOGETHER**

The sprinkling of the blood of the bullock and the goat in the Sanctuary on Yom Kippur, the Torah tells us (Vayikra 16:16), atones for voluntary and involuntary entry of a Jew in a spiritually impure state into the sacred areas of the Beis Hamikdash. This passage concludes “Who dwells among them within their impurity.” Our Sages interpret this as an expression of the intimate relationship between Hashem and Israel; that even when Jews are impure, the Shechina (Divine Presence) is still with them.

A skeptical heathen once challenged Rabbi Chanina with the classic taunt that Hashem rejected the Chosen People by enabling their enemies to destroy the Beis Hamikdash and exile them. “Her impurity is evident in the hem of her dress” (Eicha 1:9) says the Prophet Yirmiyahu about the spiritual state of Israel following destruction and exile. “This is certain proof that you are now impure,” he challenged Rabbi Chanina. The Sage responded by simply pointing to the above-mentioned passage that declares that the Shechina is with us even in our impurity.

This cryptic dialogue is thus explained by Maharsha:

The heathen saw in the words of the prophet a separation between Hashem and His people, symbolized by the separation of a husband from his wife during the period of spiritual impurity in her menstrual cycle. Rabbi Chanina did not challenge the comparison but rather expanded on it. The husband separates from his wife during her period of impurity only regarding physical contact. He continues to reside with her, however, in the same home. In like fashion, concluded the sage, we do not enjoy the same intimacy with Hashem while we are in exile, but this does not mean that He has stopped dwelling within our midst.

This is what was communicated for all generations and situations — that even in our lowest spiritual state, we enjoy the comforting closeness of Hashem “Who dwells among them within their impurity.”

**TWIN GOATS, TWIN PARENTS**

Two goats played a central role in the Yom Kippur service. One of them served as a sacrifice whose blood was sprinkled in the kodshei kodashim (Holy of Holies) and in the heichal. The other was the scapegoat that carried on it the sins of Israel as it was led to its death off a cliff in the wilderness.

Both goats, the mishna tells us, were preferably to be of the same appearance, size and value, and be purchased at the same time. An interesting question is raised by Tosefos Yeshanim, based on a gemara in mesechta Sanhedrin (71a). There we find Rabbi Yehuda’s ruling that the preemptive punishment of the young rebellious son applies only if both his parents are of similar voice, appearance and height. He derives this from the parents’ statement before the court that “this son is rebellious and does not hearken to our voice” (Devarim 21:20). The singular form “voice” when referring to both parents indicates that their voices are so similar that what they say sounds like it is coming from one voice. If similarity is a prerequisite regarding voice, concludes Rabbi Yehuda, it follows that similarity of appearance and height also be required.

Due to this requirement of similarity, say some of the Sages, the law of the rebellious son was never actually implemented. It was written in the Torah only for the purpose of learning it to gain reward for Torah study, and to absorb its message about child-rearing. The simple understanding of this is that the law could never be applied because of the virtual impossibility of a father and mother being of the same appearance. If so, asks Tosefos Yeshanim, how could there be a mitzvah to seek animals with identical appearance, if the chances are so remote?

His solution is to differentiate between man and animal. While it is unlikely for human parents to be similar in appearance, there is the possibility of finding an almost perfect similarity in two goats.

Another solution may be suggested based on what Rabbi Yoel Sirkis (Bach) writes in his footnotes in mesechta Sanhedrin. It is not the impossibility of identical appearance that rules out the implementation of the law of the rebellious son, but the impossibility of them having identical voices. For a man and woman to have identical voices, either the man must lack masculinity or the woman femininity. In either case this would mean that one of the parents was not capable in being a partner in procreation and they could never have a son. This relationship between voice and reproductive capacity is limited to humans. Regarding appearance, this is possible even in humans, and certainly in regard to the two goats.

**Yoma 57a**
PARSHA Q&A?

1. How many “geira” are there in a shekel?
2. What was the minimum age of military service in the Jewish army?
3. What were the three different types of terumah donated?
4. The Jews were counted after Yom Kippur and again after Pesach. Both times they numbered the same amount. How can this be? Didn’t some 19 year olds turn 20 during that six month period?
5. How many ingredients comprise the incense of the Mishkan?
6. According to Rashi, why are sailors called “malachim?”
7. What is the difference between chochma (wisdom), bina (understanding), and da’as (knowledge)?
8. Shabbos is a “sign.” What does it signify?
9. When did the Jewish People begin to give contributions for the building of the Mishkan?
10. How many books are there in Tanach?
11. From where did the men take the earrings that they donated to make the calf?
12. Why did Aharon build the altar for the golden calf by himself?
13. Why did Moshe break the Tablets?
14. How can two brothers belong to two different tribes?
15. Why did Moshe ask that his name be erased from the Torah?
16. How has the sin of the golden calf affected the Jewish People throughout history?
17. In verse 33:2, Hashem says that the inhabitants of Eretz Canaan would be driven out of the Land. In that verse, only six of the seven Canaanite nations are mentioned. What happened to the seventh?
18. How did Hashem show that He forgave the Jewish People?
19. How did Moshe become wealthy?
20. How do the light rays shining from Moshe’s face show us the powerful effect of sin?

SHERLOX

SHERLOX AND THE GOLDEN MYSTERY

“Firthlington isn’t the baron-heir he purports to be,” said world famous detective Sherlox Holmes.

“How do you know?” asked Watstein.

“He wears too much gold,” said Sherlox. “A true heir to the kind of fortune he brags of doesn’t flaunt it so. Too much gold, too much gold....”

“Speaking of gold,” said Watstein, “I’m having trouble understanding this text regarding the golden calf. It says that the people gave their gold earrings to Aharon; Aharon then ‘formed them with a stylus and made a metal calf.’ (Shemos 32:3:4)

“It does seem odd,” said Sherlox, “that G-d’s chosen one, Aharon, should have had any part in this bovine business.”

“The commentaries deal with that issue,” said Watstein. “My question is on Rashi’s comment. Rashi explains that the word ‘metal’ in the above verse — ‘masecha’ — has the numerical value of 125, indicating that this calf weighed 125 kantar-units of gold.”

“A hefty heifer indeed!” said Sherlox.

“But why this comment? Why can’t ‘metal’ simply mean metal? It’s not Rashi’s way to chase after numerical explanations. So why does he do so here?”

“Too much gold,” said Sherlox. “Too much gold...”

PARSHA Q&A!

Answers to this Week’s Questions!

All references are to the verses and Rashi’s commentary unless otherwise stated.

1. 30:13 - Twenty.
2. 30:14 - Twenty.
3. 30:15 - For the Adanim (sockets), for the purchase of communal sacrifices, and for the building of the Mishkan.
4. 30:16 - Their ages were calculated based on Rosh Hashana, not based on their individual birthdays.
5. 30:34 - Eleven.
6. 30:35 - Because they stir (malach) the water with their oars.
7. 31:3 - Chochma is knowledge acquired from others. Bina is the deduction of new knowledge from what one has already learned. Da’as is holy inspiration.
8. 31:13 - It is a sign between Hashem and the Jewish People that He has chosen them and a sign to the nations of the world that He has sanctified the Jewish People.
9. 31:18 - The 11th of Tishrei.
11. 32:2.3 - From their ears.
12. 32:5 - He hoped that by building it himself it would take longer and in the interim Moshe would return.
13. 32:19 - Moshe reasoned: If the Torah forbids those who have estranged themselves from the Torah to partake in even a single commandment (Pesach sacrifice), surely the entire Torah cannot be given to a whole nation who has estranged itself from Hashem!
14. 32:27 - Half-brothers, sharing the same mother.
15. 32:32 - So people shouldn’t say "Moshe was unworthy to plead for mercy on behalf of the Jewish people.”
16. 32:34 - Whenever Hashem punishes the Jewish People, part of that punishment comes as payment for the sin of the golden calf.
17. 33:2 - The seventh nation, the Girgashites, voluntarily emigrated.
18. 33:14 - He agreed to let His Shechina dwell among them.
19. 34:1 - Moshe carved the Tablets out of precious stone. Hashem commanded Moshe to keep the leftover fragments.
20. 34:35 - Before the sin of the golden calf, the people would not have been afraid to look at the light rays, but after the sin they were afraid.
Michael Cavette replies:
I have asked this same question of Jesuit priests, theologians, Buddhist teachers, and ethicists, and you are the only one who was able or willing to answer it. Kind regards.

Dear Michael Cavette,
Obviously you are talking about a lie that does not hurt anyone, where the only immorality is that it is not true. Before we answer why you should tell the truth, let me ask another question. Why are we here? Why is there a world?
This question is asked by Rabbi Moshe Chaim Luzzatto in The Way of G-d, and is also addressed by Rabbi Yosef Karo in Maggid Mesharim. The gist of what they say is the following: The purpose of Creation is kindness. G-d wants to bestow the greatest possible good upon us. The ultimate and greatest good is G-d Himself, and therefore, the ultimate good is closeness to G-d. Closeness to G-d requires compatibility and similarity to G-d. Therefore beings must have free will and not be created already similar, as this would be dissimilar to G-d (i.e., G-d acts because He chooses to do so, not because He is coerced).
Therefore, we must be in a world in which there is choice so that we can try to be as “G-dlike” as possible. The good has to be internal, not external, just as G-d is intrinsically good. The only way to internalize and be intrinsically good is to do it through challenge and free will, and therefore this world was created.
Now, G-d is perfect, which means He is the ultimate reality, i.e., True. Lies, on the other hand, do not parallel reality; therefore, they distance us from the reality of G-d, make us incompatible and negate our relationship with Him.
Michael Cavette replies: Thank you so much for your answer.

Thanking the Rabbi...
FALLING LEAVES

Name@Withheld wrote:

Dear Rabbi,
I would appreciate any help which the Rabbi can give as regards to the following point: When a specific leaf falls off a tree or a specific animal is killed by another animal, is there a specific reason or decree why that specific leaf fell? Or is there such a thing as natural occurrences which are random and uncontrolled? I would appreciate any information and sources that discuss and explain this philosophical point which touches on “bechira” (free choice), etc., and thank you in advance. Best regards.

Dear Name@Withheld,

The view that certain events are not individually guided is a view that is accepted by Maimonides in the Guide for the Perplexed, and also by Rabbi Ovadiah Sforno in his commentary on Chumash. They maintain that the degree of individual Divine Providence is directly proportional to the spirituality and G-dliness of the being. Hence, animals and plants have Providence only on a species level.

The exception would be when the animal or plant interacts with a human being, then there is guidance. For example, the apple falling near Sir Isaac Newton is Divine Providence, whereas an apple falling off a tree in Cortland NY with no human around is a result of the laws of nature that G-d created.

Others, principally the Kabbalists and the Chassidic thinkers, maintain that absolutely everything is a matter of individual Divine Providence.

This argument may not be as extreme as it sounds: Perhaps the Kabbalists agree in principle with Maimonides’ concept, however they disagree in that they maintain that everything interacts with humanity on some level, and that even a very subtle and low level interaction with humans requires Divine Providence.

Regarding how there could be an argument in this area: Just as in any area of Torah, different minds see things differently, and as long as they use Torah sources and methodology they are both “the words of the living G-d.”

PUBLIC DOMAIN
Comments, quibbles and reactions concerning previous “Ohrnet” features

Re: Five Shema Yiddle Riddle (Ohrnet Bo):

In a recent Yiddle Riddle (Ohrnet Bo), we asked: “One Friday evening recently, I said the first paragraph of shema five times, and each time it was for a different reason. How did this occur?” Here are some readers’ responses that differed from our answer:

You can read the first verse of shema again in another case: On the night of Simchat Torah, before we take out the sefer Torah we say the first verse of Shema.

• Zvi Shavit <zvi@hadassah.org.il>

Someone came knocking at the door that the sefer Torah needed to be checked, so he read through the Parsha again.

• Y. Benyowitz <Yahud@juno.com>

Your Yiddle Riddle regarding the recitation of shema five times for five different reasons had a whole corner of our shul in a debate after shacharis on a recent Shabbos. The conversation went something like this: “No, it says a ‘recent’ Friday eve;” “No, no, it says ‘eve’, not afternoon;” “This isn’t a Rashba, you can’t be m’dayik (be so exacting in) every word!” Anyway, we came up with another reason one might say shema at night. If there was a bris the next day, the custom is to say shema the night before, for extra shmirah and protection.

Yasher cochacha. My family gets great enjoyment from Ohrnet every week.

• Gershon Minkow <minkow@alpha.netvision.net.il>

The Talmud (Megillah 3a) says: “If one is frightened, but does not know why, then his “mazal” saw something frightening. What should he do? He should read the shema.” And if someone’s “yetzer hora” (evil inclination) is overpowering him, then he should say the shema. Also, if he thought that he was about to die, he would read the shema.

• A Jew in Richmond <richseed@juno.com>

Wow for Ohrnet:

Thank you Ohrnet for your prompt reply to my question. Wow. I thoroughly enjoy your teachings and lessons. Often the “typed” word loses something; however, you have a way that explains things in such a nice way. Thank you again.

• Veronica <norske@webtv.net>

Tu B’Shvat Special:

Thank you for your Tu B’Shvat special. You’re right, as usual. It was special.

• Pesia Bernstein <sidney@netvision.net.il>
Failing to judge favorably can ruin friendships. The following incident, sad but true, shows the outcome of...

THINKING ILL

Dear Ohrnet,

Here is a true story told to me by my son. My son heard it from the “boy” in the story, who is today my son’s Rosh Yeshiva:

Rabbi Zelig (name changed) had an elderly friend who became ill and was hospitalized in Jerusalem’s Sha’arei Tzedek Hospital. The sick man wondered why Rabbi Zelig had not come to visit him, and he was upset about that.

Finally, one Shabbos, Rabbi Zelig (who had 18 children of his own and struggled to make ends meet) decided to visit his ill friend, and started walking to the hospital.

Shortly thereafter, unbeknownst to Rabbi Zelig, his son fell down the stairs and was bleeding profusely. Rabbi Zelig’s wife grabbed the boy and hurried to the hospital.

Meanwhile, Rabbi Zelig arrived at the hospital and found his way to his sick friend’s room and greeted him. But the sick man said to Rabbi Zelig, “I know you wouldn’t have come here to visit only me. It must be that you came here for something else and while you were here you dropped in for a visit.”

Rabbi Zelig answered, “No no no! I came to visit only you.”

A minute later, to Rabbi Zelig’s great surprise, his wife suddenly appeared in the room with their bandaged child. “See!” said the sick man. “I told you! You came here because of your son! Why did you lie to me?” The sick man refused to listen to Rabbi Zelig or his wife’s explanations.

And from that day on, the sick man refused to talk to Rabbi Zelig.

• Submitted by a reader

Concept based on “The Other Side of the Story” by Yehudis Samet, ArtScroll Series

GIVING PEOPLE THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT

Sherlox Answer!

“What was this metal calf made of?” asked Sherlox.

“Gold, of course,” said Watstein. “The verse says ‘they removed their gold earrings’ and gave them to Aharon.”

“And gold is metal, isn’t it?” said Sherlox.

“Are you trying to suggest the verse should have said a ‘gold calf’ and not a ‘metal calf’?” asked Watstein.

“No, I’m suggesting that the verse should have said just ‘calf’ and nothing more,” said Sherlox.

“Ah! You’re right!” said Watstein. “The word ‘metal’ seems superfluous. The people gave gold, so obviously any calf formed from this gold would be metal. Therefore, Rashi needs to seek an additional explanation for this word, which he does by introducing its numerical value.

“A precious explanation, dear Watstein.”

• Based on Levush Orah, Sherlox is by Reuven Subar

Inspired by “What’s Bothering Rashi” by Rabbi Avigdor Bonchek

Yiddle Riddle

Rabbi R.Y. Eisenman from Passaic NJ <RYE613@aol.com> wrote:

I would like to submit a Yiddle Riddle: What letter from the Aleph Beis is missing from the Bircas Hamazon and why?

Thank you for your wonderful work for the klal (community).

Answer next week...
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